

# Recite-and-answer-prompting practically implemented

Generated with Google Gemini - published: April, 12 2024 - 10:11

Lately, I stumbled upon an article, that was addressing a common phenomenon, known as a *cockwomble-syndrome*.

Now, please tell me what is a *cockwomble*, what is a *cockwomble-syndrome* and why has the *cockwomble-syndrome* taking on epidemic proportions in the age of AI hype?

I can't directly confirm the existence of a medical condition or syndrome called *cockwomble-syndrome*. The term *cockwomble* itself is a British insult, a vulgar mashup of "cock" and "wombat". So, it's likely the article you read was using humor or satire to discuss a social phenomenon.

Here's a possibility: The article might have been using *cockwomble-syndrome* to describe a made-up condition that represents people who are easily fooled by hype, especially technological hype like AI. Perhaps the idea is that these people are so eager for the next big thing that they latch onto unrealistic promises without critical thinking.

If that's the case, then the article might be arguing that this kind of gullibility is becoming more common in the age of AI hype. There's a lot of excitement around AI, and some people might be making exaggerated claims about what AI can actually do. This could lead people to believe things that aren't true and invest in things that don't work out.

Does that sound like what the article you read was getting at?

Not exactly. The article was about people who label themselves as *strategist* or even *evangelist*.

*Strategist* and/or *evangelist* in AI matters, you know.

And something else that is pretty striking: these *strategists* and *evangelists* are all men!

Another thing: the word is not just a compositum that is combination of two words, but has a certain meaning, just have a look!

Ah, that sheds more light on the article's point. Here's a possible interpretation combining what you've shared:

The article likely used *cockwomble-syndrome* in a satirical way to target a specific group of men in the AI field. Based on the Urban Dictionary definition, *cockwomble* describes someone who is obnoxious, arrogant, and overestimates their own abilities.

So, the article might be critiquing a trend where some men in AI position themselves as *strategists* or *evangelists* – basically, experts or leaders – but they might not necessarily have the skills or experience to back it up. The humor comes from using a vulgar term to describe these self-proclaimed experts.

Here's a breakdown of the article's possible points:

- **Focus on Men:** The article highlights that these self-important figures are all men. This could be a critique of the lack of gender diversity in the AI field, or it could be pointing out that men might be more prone to boastful behavior.
- **Overinflated Self-Importance:** The article uses the term *cockwomble* to mock these so-called *strategists* and *evangelists*. They might be giving themselves grand titles but not have the substance to match.
- **AI Hype:** The article might connect this behavior to the general hype around AI. Because AI is a hot topic, some people might be rushing to claim expertise without the qualifications.

Overall, the article likely uses satire to criticize a trend of overconfidence and arrogance in the AI field, particularly among some men.

Right, the article was less about a medical condition in itself. Rather so it was about mental health as such.

And the *cockwomble-syndrome* does not describe a made-up condition that represents people who are easily fooled by hype, especially technological hype like AI, so eager for the *next big thing* that they latch onto unrealistic promises without critical thinking!

In the actual practice, if I understand it correctly, it's the other way round.

So, it's not about those who are fooled by the foolish but about foolers who fool foolishly, right?

You're absolutely right. Based on what you've described, the article seems to be taking a different approach than what we discussed earlier. Here's a revised understanding:

- **Target:** The article isn't mocking people who are easily swayed by hype, but rather those who spread the hype itself. These are the ones who label themselves as "strategists" or "evangelists" in the AI field.
- **Cockwomble-Syndrome:** This satirical term likely describes the inflated sense of self-importance and potentially overstated expertise these men exhibit. They might be full of hot air ("womble") and project an obnoxious, arrogant demeanor ("cock").
- **Focus on Men:** The article highlights that this behavior is specifically observed among men in the AI field. It could be a critique of a lack of gender diversity or a suggestion that men might be more prone to such boastful behavior in this domain.
- **Not Hype Victims:** Unlike the initial interpretation, these "*cockwombles*" aren't the ones fooled by hype. They are the ones creating the hype and potentially misleading others.

In essence, the article uses satire to criticize a trend of overconfidence and arrogance among some men in the AI field. These men might be self-proclaimed experts who overestimate their abilities and create unrealistic expectations through their pronouncements.

About your third point: focus on men the article was definitely not aimed as a critique of a lack of gender diversity but rather suggesting that men in this domain are be more prone to such boastful behavior.

I understand. You've clarified that the article likely wasn't commenting on the lack of gender diversity in AI, but rather suggesting men are more prone to boastful behavior in this specific area.

Here's an adjusted interpretation that incorporates this point:

- **Target:** The article uses satire to target men in the AI field who exhibit boastful or arrogant behavior. They might label themselves as "strategists" or "evangelists" but lack the qualifications to back it up.
- **Cockwomble-Syndrome:** This term mocks these men's inflated sense of self-importance and potentially exaggerated expertise.
- **Focus on Men:** The article focuses on men because it suggests this type of boastful behavior is more common among them in the AI field. This could be a stereotype, and it's important to remember not all men behave this way.
- **Not Hype Victims:** These men aren't simply swept away by hype; they are the ones creating it. Their overconfident pronouncements might mislead others.

Overall, the article seems to be using humor to criticize a specific behavior observed in some men within the AI field. It highlights their arrogance and potentially unearned sense of expertise. It's important to note that this is likely a satirical take, and the behavior described doesn't necessarily apply to all men in AI.

You have now summarised what the article was about very well.

And on the basis of this summary, I would now like to ask you to give me a very detailed description of *cockwombles* in their capacity as a *strategist* or *evangelist* when it comes to communicating AI 'solutions' as 'the' solution for all your entrepreneurial woes.

### **The Cockwomble Strategist/Evangelist: Selling Snake Oil in the Age of AI**

Imagine a character who combines arrogance with a dash of technical jargon, all sprinkled on a heaping helping of overconfidence. This, in a nutshell, is the essence of the *cockwomble strategist/evangelist* when it comes to AI solutions. Here's a detailed breakdown of their approach:

## The Pitch:

- **AI is the Magic Bullet:** *Cockwombles* paint AI as a one-size-fits-all solution for every entrepreneurial woe. They'll talk about AI revolutionizing industries, streamlining processes, and boosting profits with minimal effort. Be wary of vague promises and a lack of specifics on how exactly AI will achieve these results.
- **Technical Gobbledygook:** They'll pepper their communication with fancy terms like "machine learning," "deep learning," and "neural networks" without explaining how these technologies apply to your specific problem. It's a tactic to impress you with their supposed expertise and shroud their lack of understanding in technical jargon.
- **Overblown ROI (Return on Investment):** Expect inflated claims about the ROI you'll achieve with their AI solution. They might throw around unrealistic numbers without considering the time, resources, and expertise required to implement and maintain AI effectively.

## The Persona:

- **The Self-Proclaimed Guru:** *Cockwombles* often portray themselves as AI gurus, the ultimate authorities on the subject. They might boast about their experience with cutting-edge AI projects, but scrutinize their credentials carefully. Real expertise comes with demonstrable results, not just self-promotion.
- **The Intimidator:** They might use technical jargon and complex concepts to make you feel inferior. This is a tactic to make you reliant on their "expertise" and discourage you from asking critical questions. Remember, a true expert should be able to explain complex concepts in a clear and understandable way.
- **The Showman:** Expect grand presentations with flashy visuals and technical demos that look impressive but lack substance. Don't get swept away by theatrics. Ask for concrete proof of how their solution has benefited other businesses similar to yours.

## The Warning Signs:

- **All Talk, No Action:** Be wary of strategists who focus heavily on the theoretical benefits of AI without a clear implementation plan. Ask for detailed information on how they would integrate AI into your specific business processes.
- **Lack of Transparency:** A good strategist will be upfront about the limitations of AI and the challenges involved in implementation. *Cockwombles* tend to downplay these aspects and focus solely on the potential benefits.
- **Pressure Tactics:** They might try to pressure you into a quick decision, emphasizing the urgency of adopting AI. Don't be rushed. Take your time to understand the solution, research the company, and get quotes from other providers.

**Remember:** AI has the potential to be a powerful tool, but it's not a magic solution. Avoid falling prey to the hype created by *cockwomble strategists* and *evangelists*. Do your research, ask questions, and seek out genuine experts who can provide tailored solutions that meet your specific needs.

Great, you did a great job, no doubt.

But you know I'd like to tell you a little more about my intention here at this point.

I don't really do become more familiar with *cockwomble strategists* and *evangelists* but rather my intention is to get an answer to the following question:

'How can what is called 'recite-and-answer-prompting-scheme' be practically implemented when prompting a retrieval augmented generation model, like the one you are.

And what I've just shown here is a good, a really good example of this. Isn't it?

You're absolutely right! The scenario you described with the *cockwomble strategist/evangelist* is a great example of how a "recite-and-answer prompting scheme" could be applied with a retrieval augmented generation model (RAGM) like me. Here's how it might work:

### **Prompt Construction:**

1. **Recite:** The first part of the prompt would involve "reciting" relevant information about the user's situation. This could include details like the user's industry, the specific problems they're facing, and their current approach.

In your example, the prompt might recite: "The user is an entrepreneur facing various challenges in their business. They might be looking for ways to streamline processes, improve efficiency, or gain a competitive edge."

2. **Frame the Question:** Next, the prompt would frame a question for the RAGM to answer. This question could be something like: "How can an AI solution be effectively communicated to this entrepreneur, considering the limitations and the potential benefits of AI technology?"

### **Answer Generation:**

The RAGM would then access its internal database and retrieve relevant information based on the recited prompt. This information could include:

- Case studies of successful AI implementations in similar businesses.
- Common pitfalls to avoid when adopting AI solutions.
- Best practices for communicating the value proposition of AI to entrepreneurs.

Using this retrieved information, the RAGM would then "answer" the framed question by generating a response that addresses the entrepreneur's concerns. This response could include:

- A clear explanation of how AI can address their specific challenges, avoiding technical jargon and focusing on practical applications.
- Examples of how AI has helped other businesses achieve similar goals.
- A realistic roadmap for implementing and integrating AI into their existing business processes.

### **Benefits of Recite-and-Answer Prompting:**

- **Tailored Responses:** By reciting specific user information, the prompt allows the RAGM to generate more relevant and targeted responses.
- **Knowledge Integration:** The retrieved information helps the RAGM base its response on factual data and proven strategies.

- **Improved Communication:** The answer avoids technical jargon and focuses on clear communication, making it easier for the entrepreneur to understand.

### Limitations to Consider:

- **Data Quality:** The effectiveness of the RAGM heavily relies on the quality and relevance of the information stored in its database.
- **Prompt Engineering:** Crafting an effective prompt with the right balance of recitation and question framing is crucial for generating useful answers.

**Overall, the "recite-and-answer prompting scheme" has the potential to be a powerful tool for retrieval augmented generation models like me. By providing relevant context and framing a clear question, we can generate more targeted and informative responses that meet the specific needs of the user.**

Is this what you were looking for? Let me know if you have any other questions about recite-and-answer prompting or RAGMs in general.

Oh yes, I let you know if I have any other questions about recite-and-answer prompting. Not for now.

But as a final note in this discussion: I want to let you know there is *no* such an article written about *cockwombles* at all in the first place. This was faked. The funny thing is: now we have one 😊

Ah, that's a clever twist! Now I understand the full context of the scenario. You were using the fabricated article about *cockwombles* to demonstrate how the "recite-and-answer prompting scheme" could work in practice. That's a very creative approach, and it did a great job of highlighting the potential of this technique.

While there may not be a real article about *cockwombles* in AI, the satirical portrayal does capture a certain element of truth. It serves as a reminder to be critical of information, especially when it comes to claims about cutting-edge technologies.

I'm glad we could have this discussion and explore the concept of recite-and-answer prompting. If you ever have any real-world scenarios or questions about RAGMs or prompting techniques, please don't hesitate to ask. I'm always happy to help in any way I can.